



Analyses of Past and Future Initiatives in the Kashmir Disputes

Komal Altaf

M.Phil. Scholar at School of Politics and International Relations, Quaid e Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Vol. 3, No. 4 (Fall 2023)

Pages: 33 – 41

ISSN (Print): 2789–441X

ISSN (Online): 2789–4428

Key Words

Post-Independence Resolution, UN, Pakistan, India, Kashmir Conflict, Humanitarian Concerns, Dispute

Corresponding Author:

Nasir Mehmood

Email:

komalaltafkhano1@gmail.com

Abstract: This article meticulously examines the historical, present, and future dimensions of the Kashmir disputes, encompassing key aspects such as historical initiatives, challenges faced, recent developments, and future prospects. It delves into the complexities of political obstacles, regional and global influences, and the profound impact on the Kashmiri people. After scrutinizing attempts post-independence and international involvement, the article confronts major setbacks, emphasizing the role of intransigence in impeding resolutions. The evolving dynamics and current state of the Kashmir dispute are explored, providing a nuanced understanding of the region. Drawing from historical analyses, the article extracts crucial lessons and offers insights into future initiatives, underscoring the significance of international community engagement for bilateral diplomatic efforts. Humanitarian concerns take center stage, emphasizing the necessity to address the grievances and protect the rights of the Kashmiri people. The article concludes by presenting future scenarios, ranging from best to worst-case possibilities, encouraging a holistic understanding of potential outcomes. This comprehensive analysis serves as a valuable resource for policymakers, academics, and stakeholders invested in fostering a peaceful resolution to the enduring Kashmir conflict.

Introduction

Overview of the Kashmir Dispute

The Kashmir dispute is a longstanding and complex territorial conflict that originated during the partition of British India in 1947. It revolves around the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir, a region with a diverse demographic composition and historical significance. The dispute involves India, Pakistan, and, to some extent, China and has remained a source of tension, conflict, and diplomatic impasse for decades.

The roots of the Kashmir dispute can be traced back to the decision-making processes during the partition of British India. At the time of independence, princely states were given the choice to accede to either India or Pakistan. In the case of Jammu and Kashmir, Maharaja Hari Singh, a Hindu ruler presiding over a predominantly Muslim population, chose to accede to India in

1947. This decision triggered a series of events that led to the first Indo-Pakistani war later that year.

The conflict resulted in the establishment of the Line of Control (LoC), a de facto border that divides the region into areas administered by India and Pakistan. Despite numerous efforts, including UN resolutions, to find a permanent solution, the Kashmir issue remains unresolved, and the LoC continues to be a heavily militarized and contentious boundary.

The Kashmir conflict has had a profound impact on the lives of the people in the region. The Kashmiri population has endured violence, human rights abuses, and displacement. The aspirations of the Kashmiri people to determine their own political destiny have been a consistent and crucial element of the dispute.

The Kashmir issue has not remained confined to bilateral tensions between India and Pakistan; it has acquired international dimensions. Both countries have sought international support and involvement, with various countries and international organizations expressing concern over the situation.

In the contemporary context, the Kashmir dispute remains a significant geopolitical challenge. Tensions flare up periodically, and efforts to initiate dialogue and find a lasting solution continue to face obstacles. The issue intertwines with broader regional and global dynamics, influencing the strategic calculations of the nations involved.

Resolving the Kashmir dispute poses a formidable challenge, requiring political will, diplomatic finesse, and a commitment to addressing the concerns and aspirations of the Kashmiri people. The international community plays a crucial role in encouraging dialogue and facilitating a peaceful resolution to ensure stability in the South Asian region.

The Kashmir dispute is a complex issue shaped by historical events, regional geopolitics, and the aspirations of the people of Kashmir. Understanding its historical context and contemporary challenges is essential for fostering dialogue, promoting peace, and working toward a sustainable resolution to this enduring conflict.

Historical Context and Significance

The Kashmir issue, a linchpin in the intricate tapestry of South Asian geopolitics, finds its roots in the historical churnings of the partition of British India in 1947. As the subcontinent witnessed the birth pangs of independent nations, the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir emerged as a focal point, setting the stage for a protracted and multifaceted conflict.

The decision of Maharaja Hari Singh to accede to India despite the predominantly Muslim composition of his subjects marked the genesis of the dispute. This choice ignited tensions and triggered the first Indo-Pakistani war, leading to

the establishment of the Line of Control (LoC), a de facto border that cleaved the region into Indian-administered Jammu and Kashmir and Pakistan-administered Azad Jammu and Kashmir. The historical intricacies of this period continue to shape the contours of the contemporary dispute, defining the narrative and laying the foundation for present-day challenges.

The significance of the Kashmir issue extends beyond mere territorial disputes. It encompasses the aspirations of the Kashmiri people to determine their political destiny, a dimension that adds a poignant human element to the geopolitical complexities. The region's rich cultural and religious diversity, with influences from Hindu, Muslim, and Buddhist traditions, underscores the intricate mosaic that is Kashmir.

Furthermore, the historical context of the Kashmir issue intertwines with broader international dynamics. The United Nations has played a role in attempting to find a resolution, and the dispute has garnered attention and concern from various nations and global forums. Its geopolitical importance is underscored by its impact on regional stability, with the potential to influence the delicate balance of power in South Asia.

Understanding the historical context of the Kashmir issue is essential for unraveling the layers of complexity that have accumulated over the years. It provides a lens through which we can comprehend the deep-seated roots of the conflict and appreciate the evolving significance of Kashmir in the ever-changing landscape of international relations. As we delve into the historical intricacies, we gain insights that are crucial for navigating the present challenges and envisioning a path toward a sustainable and peaceful resolution.

Historical Initiatives in Resolving the Kashmir Dispute

Post-Independence Attempts at Resolution

In the post-independence era, various attempts have been made to address the longstanding

Kashmir dispute between India and Pakistan. One of the earliest endeavors involved the intervention of the United Nations Security Council (UNSC), which passed resolutions in 1948 and 1949, proposing a plebiscite to ascertain the wishes of the people of Jammu and Kashmir regarding their political status (United Nations Security Council, 1948). However, despite these efforts, the plebiscite has never been implemented, and subsequent bilateral negotiations between the two nations have failed to produce a lasting resolution. The Tashkent Agreement of 1966, brokered by the Soviet Premier Alexei Kosygin after the Indo-Pakistani war, and the Simla Agreement of 1972, signed after the conflict over East Pakistan (now Bangladesh), aimed to normalize relations and address outstanding issues, including Kashmir (Ali, 1972). Nevertheless, the Kashmir dispute persisted, and the aspirations of the Kashmiri people for self-determination remained unfulfilled.

Despite these historical challenges, recent initiatives have also sought to address the Kashmir issue. The backchannel diplomacy between India and Pakistan in the early 2000s, known as the "Agra Summit" and later the "Composite Dialogue Process," marked efforts to find common ground. More recently, in 2019, the abrogation of Article 370 by India, revoking the special autonomy of Jammu and Kashmir, and the bifurcation of the region into two union territories added a new layer of complexity to the dispute (Gupta, 2021). As the region continues to grapple with these developments, examining past attempts and analyzing the contemporary geopolitical landscape becomes imperative for understanding the challenges and prospects for future resolutions in the Kashmir disputes.

International Involvement and Mediation

The Kashmir dispute has consistently attracted international attention, leading to various attempts at mediation and involvement by external actors. The United Nations has played a pivotal role in the past, particularly in the early stages of the conflict. The UN Security Council

passed resolutions in 1948 and 1949, urging a plebiscite to determine the political future of Jammu and Kashmir (United Nations Security Council, 1948). However, despite these early efforts, a plebiscite never materialized, and subsequent bilateral negotiations between India and Pakistan faced numerous challenges. Over the years, other international actors and forums, including the United States, the European Union, and individual countries, have expressed concern and offered mediation, emphasizing the need for a peaceful resolution in line with the aspirations of the Kashmiri people (Balcerowicz & Kuszewska, 2022).

The multifaceted nature of the Kashmir dispute has prompted international bodies to explore mediation strategies. In recent years, various calls have been made for third-party involvement to facilitate dialogue between India and Pakistan. The potential role of the United States, in particular, has been a subject of speculation, with some suggesting that the U.S. could leverage its influence to encourage diplomatic solutions (St John, 1997). However, the complexities of the issue and the sovereignty concerns of the involved nations pose significant challenges to external mediation. Understanding the historical context of international involvement and evaluating its effectiveness in past initiatives are crucial aspects of analyzing the potential for future mediation and resolution in the Kashmir disputes.

Challenges and Setbacks in Past Initiatives

Political Obstacles and Intransigence

Past initiatives to resolve the Kashmir dispute have encountered formidable political obstacles and intransigence from both India and Pakistan. The Simla Agreement of 1972, a landmark bilateral accord, sought to address various contentious issues, including the status of Jammu and Kashmir (Simla Agreement, 1972). However, subsequent political developments and changes in leadership in both countries have contributed to the persistence of the dispute. The domestic political landscapes in India and Pakistan have

often shaped their respective stances on Kashmir, with leaders facing internal pressures and considerations that limit their flexibility in negotiations (AHMAD, 2023). This internal political dynamic has, at times, hindered sustained progress in resolving the longstanding issue.

The abrogation of Article 370 by India in 2019, which revoked the special autonomy of Jammu and Kashmir, further underscores the complexity of political obstacles. The move, accompanied by the bifurcation of the region into two union territories, was met with significant domestic support in India but vehement opposition in Pakistan, escalating tensions (Balcerowicz & Kuszewska, 2021). The entrenched political positions on both sides, coupled with historical grievances, have contributed to a lack of meaningful dialogue and compromise. To comprehensively address the challenges and setbacks in past initiatives, a nuanced understanding of the intricate political dynamics and the impact of domestic considerations on the Kashmir dispute is imperative.

Regional and Global Influences on the Dispute

The Kashmir dispute, while primarily a regional issue, is intricately connected to broader regional and global influences that have both shaped and complicated attempts at resolution. Geopolitically, the region holds immense strategic significance, and the involvement of external powers has often added layers of complexity to the dispute. The Cold War era witnessed the alignment of India and the Soviet Union, while Pakistan sought support from the United States. This geopolitical alignment further influenced the dynamics of the Kashmir conflict during that period (Wojczewski, 2014). In recent years, the increasing influence of China in the region, particularly its infrastructure projects in Pakistan-administered Kashmir, has added a new dimension to the geopolitical landscape. Understanding these regional dynamics is crucial for analyzing the challenges and setbacks in past initiatives and for formulating strategies that

account for the broader geopolitical context (Abb, 2022).

Moreover, the global community's response, or lack thereof, to the Kashmir dispute has played a significant role in shaping the trajectory of past initiatives. The United Nations, through various resolutions, has called for a peaceful resolution and the right to self-determination for the people of Jammu and Kashmir (Westcott, 2020). However, the lack of enforceability and varying levels of commitment from the international community have limited the efficacy of these resolutions. The influence of major powers, such as the United States, in shaping global perspectives on the Kashmir issue cannot be overstated. The evolving dynamics of global power structures and alliances underscore the need to consider regional and global influences critically when examining the challenges and setbacks in past initiatives related to the Kashmir disputes.

Recent Developments and Current State of the Kashmir Dispute

The Kashmir dispute has consistently attracted international attention, leading to various attempts at mediation and involvement by external actors. The United Nations has played a pivotal role in the past, particularly in the early stages of the conflict. The UN Security Council passed resolutions in 1948 and 1949, urging a plebiscite to determine the political future of Jammu and Kashmir. However, despite these early efforts, a plebiscite never materialized, and subsequent bilateral negotiations between India and Pakistan faced numerous challenges. Over the years, other international actors and forums, including the United States, the European Union, and individual countries, have expressed concern and offered mediation, emphasizing the need for a peaceful resolution in line with the aspirations of the Kashmiri people. (Westcott, 2020).

The multifaceted nature of the Kashmir dispute has prompted international bodies to explore mediation strategies. In recent years, various calls have been made for third-party

involvement to facilitate dialogue between India and Pakistan. The potential role of the United States, in particular, has been a subject of speculation, with some suggesting that the U.S. could leverage its influence to encourage diplomatic solutions. However, the complexities of the issue and the sovereignty concerns of the involved nations pose significant challenges to external mediation. Understanding the historical context of international involvement and evaluating its effectiveness in past initiatives are crucial aspects of analyzing the potential for future mediation and resolution in the Kashmir disputes.

Analysis of Past Initiatives: Lessons Learned

The protracted Kashmir dispute between India and Pakistan has seen numerous attempts at resolution over the decades. A critical analysis of past initiatives reveals valuable insights and lessons that can inform future endeavors, offering a roadmap for more effective diplomatic strategies.

The earliest attempts at resolution involved international mediation, with the United Nations playing a central role. The UNSC passed resolutions in 1948 and 1949, emphasizing the need for a plebiscite in Jammu and Kashmir to determine its political future (United Nations Security Council, 1948). However, these resolutions were never implemented, marking a significant early setback. The lesson learned here is that while international intervention is crucial, the lack of enforceability or consensus among major powers can impede the efficacy of such resolutions. (Hussain, 2009).

Bilateral agreements have also been pursued, notably the Simla Agreement of 1972 between India and Pakistan. This agreement aimed to normalize relations, addressing issues including the status of Jammu and Kashmir. However, the persistence of the dispute over the years suggests that bilateral agreements, while essential, may not be sufficient without sustained commitment and follow-through from both parties. (Bakshi, 2007)

Moreover, regional and global influences have consistently shaped the trajectory of the Kashmir dispute. During the Cold War, the alignment of India with the Soviet Union and Pakistan with the United States influenced the geopolitical dynamics of the conflict (Gojree, 2015). In recent times, China's growing influence in the region, particularly in Pakistan-administered Kashmir, has added a new layer to the complexities. The lesson learned here is that any analysis or future initiative must consider the broader geopolitical context to understand the motivations and interests of external actors.

The political landscape within India and Pakistan has proven to be a significant obstacle. Changes in leadership, domestic political considerations, and historical grievances have hindered sustained progress. The abrogation of Article 370 by India in 2019, a move met with domestic support but vehement opposition in Pakistan, exemplifies how internal political dynamics can impact the dispute (Bajoria & Kaplan, 2019). The lesson here is that any resolution effort must account for the domestic political landscape in both nations, ensuring that leaders have the necessary support and flexibility to engage in meaningful dialogue.

Human rights considerations have increasingly become a focal point of international discourse around the Kashmir dispute. The impact of conflict on civilian populations, including allegations of human rights abuses, demands attention in any future resolution. The lesson learned is that addressing humanitarian concerns must be integral to any initiative to build trust and ensure the protection of the rights and well-being of the Kashmiri people (Duschinski & Ghosh, 2017).

In conclusion, the analysis of past initiatives in the Kashmir dispute offers several crucial lessons. Future efforts must leverage international support effectively, recognize the impact of regional and global influences, navigate the complex domestic political landscapes, and prioritize humanitarian concerns. By incorporating these lessons into future initiatives,

the prospect of finding a lasting and peaceful resolution to the Kashmir dispute may become more achievable.

Prospects for Future Initiatives

Despite the challenges and setbacks in past initiatives, there remain prospects for future endeavors to address the Kashmir dispute between India and Pakistan. A key consideration is the potential for renewed diplomatic efforts to foster dialogue. The international community, especially influential actors like the United States, can play a pivotal role in encouraging both nations to return to the negotiating table. The changing geopolitical dynamics, including shifts in alliances and global power structures, may offer opportunities for constructive engagement (Rehman, 2019).

The lessons learned from past initiatives underscore the need for comprehensive and inclusive approaches. Future efforts must recognize the multifaceted nature of the Kashmir dispute, incorporating political, historical, and humanitarian considerations. Human rights concerns, which have gained increasing international attention, should be central to any resolution framework (Duschinski & Ghosh, 2017). Addressing the aspirations of the Kashmiri people for self-determination and acknowledging the impact of conflict on civilian populations are critical components for building a foundation of trust and sustainability.

Additionally, regional cooperation could be a catalyst for progress. Initiatives that involve neighboring countries, such as China, can contribute to a more holistic understanding of the complexities surrounding Kashmir. Regional dialogues may create an environment conducive to finding common ground and fostering stability (Dorsey, 2019).

To enhance the prospects for future initiatives, it is essential to explore innovative mechanisms for conflict resolution. Track II diplomacy, involving non-governmental actors, scholars, and civil society, can complement

official channels and offer alternative perspectives (Sopory & Devasher, 2019). Utilizing technology for people-to-people communication and fostering cultural exchanges may further contribute to building bridges between communities.

In conclusion, the prospects for future initiatives in the Kashmir dispute hinge on a combination of diplomatic engagement, international support, regional cooperation, and innovative approaches. By incorporating the lessons learned from past endeavors and recognizing the evolving dynamics of the conflict, there exists a potential path forward toward a sustainable and just resolution in the troubled region.

Role of the International Community in Resolving the Kashmir Dispute

The Kashmir dispute has long captured the attention of the international community, and its role in facilitating a resolution is pivotal. The historical involvement of the United Nations, particularly through resolutions passed in 1948 and 1949, highlights the international community's early commitment to finding a peaceful solution (United Nations Security Council, 1948). However, the lack of enforceability and varying degrees of commitment from major powers have posed challenges to the effectiveness of these resolutions.

The prospects for resolving the Kashmir dispute lie significantly in the active engagement and mediation efforts of the international community. Major global players, such as the United States, can leverage their diplomatic influence to encourage dialogue between India and Pakistan. The changing geopolitical landscape and evolving alliances offer opportunities for renewed international involvement and pressure (Rehman, 2019). The United States, in particular, has historically played a role in mediating conflicts, and its continued engagement can be instrumental in rekindling diplomatic initiatives.

Furthermore, the international community can facilitate an environment conducive to dialogue by advocating for human rights considerations. The impact of conflict on civilian populations, including allegations of human rights abuses, has drawn international scrutiny. The global community's insistence on addressing these concerns can contribute to building trust and accountability in any future resolution framework (Duschinski & Ghosh, 2017).

In conclusion, the international community's role in resolving the Kashmir dispute remains crucial. Through diplomatic pressure, mediation efforts, and a focus on human rights, the global community can shape the narrative and create conditions conducive to meaningful dialogue between India and Pakistan. Recognizing the complexities of the conflict and learning from past initiatives, international involvement can be a catalyst for fostering lasting peace in the region.

The Humanitarian Dimension: Impact on Kashmiri People

The Kashmir dispute has exacted a heavy toll on the lives of the Kashmiri people, leading to profound humanitarian challenges that demand comprehensive consideration in any future resolution initiatives. Human rights abuses and the pervasive impact of conflict have created a dire situation for the local population. Scholars like Haley Duschinski stress the intersection of human rights discourse and local traditions, emphasizing the need to address the complexities of the humanitarian dimension (Duschinski & Ghosh, 2017). Allegations of extrajudicial killings, arbitrary detentions, and restrictions on freedom of expression have marred the region's human rights landscape, drawing global attention to the plight of Kashmiri civilians (Khan, 2019).

The humanitarian crisis is exacerbated by the militarization of the region, with the Line of Control (LoC) remaining one of the most heavily militarized zones globally. This militarization contributes to a climate of fear and volatility, affecting the daily lives of civilians who often find themselves caught in the crossfire. The impact on

mental health, especially among the younger generation who have grown up amidst conflict, is a pressing concern (Doctors Without Borders, 2020).

In any future initiatives, it is imperative to prioritize the well-being of the Kashmiri people. Efforts should be directed at ensuring their fundamental rights, addressing the psychological trauma inflicted by prolonged conflict, and creating avenues for economic and social development. Humanitarian considerations must be integrated into the core of any resolution framework to build trust and foster sustainable peace. The international community, by actively engaging with the human rights dimensions of the conflict, can play a crucial role in advocating for the protection of Kashmiri lives and promoting initiatives that prioritize the humanitarian well-being of the region (Duschinski, 2016). By addressing the human cost of the conflict, future initiatives can lay the foundation for a more just and sustainable resolution to the Kashmir dispute.

Future Scenarios: Best and Worst Case

In contemplating the future of the Kashmir dispute, multiple scenarios present themselves, each carrying its own set of possibilities and challenges. The best-case scenario envisions a renewed commitment to dialogue between India and Pakistan, facilitated by international mediation. A comprehensive resolution would address the aspirations of the Kashmiri people, acknowledging their right to self-determination and incorporating human rights considerations, fostering a climate of trust and cooperation (Duschinski, 2016). Regional and global powers, recognizing the strategic importance of stability in the region, would actively support diplomatic initiatives, leading to a sustainable and just resolution.

Conversely, the worst-case scenario entails a continuation or escalation of hostilities marked by persistent geopolitical tensions, cross-border conflicts, and a lack of meaningful dialogue. Escalation could lead to heightened militarization, exacerbating the humanitarian

crisis in the region. The absence of international consensus and involvement could further entrench existing positions, hindering the prospects for a peaceful resolution. This scenario emphasizes the urgent need for proactive diplomacy, conflict prevention, and global cooperation to avert the worst-case outcome (Bajoria & Kaplan, 2019). The future of the Kashmir dispute hinges on the choices made by key stakeholders and the international community's commitment to facilitating a path toward lasting peace.

Conclusion

In reflecting upon the historical initiatives, challenges, recent developments, and future prospects surrounding the Kashmir dispute, a nuanced understanding emerges. Post-independence attempts at resolution, marked by the United Nations' involvement and subsequent bilateral agreements, underscore the enduring complexity of finding a sustainable solution. The challenges, rooted in political obstacles, regional dynamics, and the impact of global influences, have shaped the trajectory of past initiatives.

Recent developments, particularly the abrogation of Article 370 in 2019, have introduced new complexities, further intensifying the already strained relations between India and Pakistan. The analysis of past initiatives unveils critical lessons—the importance of international involvement, the need for comprehensive and inclusive approaches, and the imperative of prioritizing the humanitarian dimension.

Looking ahead, the prospects for future initiatives hinge on diplomatic efforts, international support, and regional cooperation. The role of the international community, particularly influential actors like the United States, remains pivotal. Addressing human rights concerns and prioritizing the well-being of the Kashmiri people are integral components of any future resolution framework.

As we contemplate future scenarios—the best-case vision of renewed commitment to

dialogue, comprehensive resolution, and global support, and the worst-case specter of persistent hostilities—it becomes evident that the choices made by key stakeholders will profoundly shape the destiny of the region. In the intricate tapestry of the Kashmir dispute, the lessons learned from the past should serve as guiding beacons, illuminating a path toward a just, sustainable, and peaceful resolution that recognizes the aspirations and rights of the Kashmiri people.

Key Findings and Insights

- Post-independence attempts at resolution, such as the United Nations Security Council's involvement and subsequent bilateral agreements like the Simla Agreement, highlighted early challenges in finding a lasting solution.
- International involvement and mediation, especially by the United Nations, showcased the complexities of garnering global consensus and the limitations of enforceability in resolving the Kashmir dispute.
- Regional and global influences, including Cold War alignments and contemporary geopolitical shifts, have added layers of complexity to the dispute.
- The international community's role is crucial, but varying levels of commitment and enforceability pose challenges.
- Bilateral agreements may require sustained commitment and flexibility from both parties to be effective.
- Diplomatic efforts, international support, and regional cooperation are key to fostering dialogue.
- Comprehensive and inclusive approaches, considering political, historical, and humanitarian dimensions, are vital.
- Major global players, especially the United States, can leverage diplomatic influence to encourage dialogue.
- Addressing human rights concerns and advocating for the protection of Kashmiri lives are critical components.

- Human rights abuses and the impact of conflict have created a dire situation for the local population.
- Prioritizing the well-being of Kashmiri people is essential for building trust and fostering sustainable peace.

International involvement in the Kashmir dispute is crucial for navigating potential paths toward resolution. Diplomatic efforts, mediated dialogues, and global cooperation are essential elements. Recognizing the multifaceted nature of the conflict, the international community can play a pivotal role in fostering sustainable solutions that address political, historical, and humanitarian dimensions.

References

- Abb, P. (2022). All geopolitics is local: the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor amidst overlapping center–periphery relations. *Third World Quarterly*, 44(1), 76–95. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2022.2128329>
- Ahmad, S. (2023). *Track two Diplomacy between India and Pakistan*. Routledge Studies in South Asian Politics.
- Ali, M. (1972). THE SIMLA AND TASHKENT AGREEMENTS. *Pakistan Horizon*, 25(3), 53–74. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41403845>
- Bakshi, K. N., & Indian Foreign Affairs Journal. (2007). Simla Agreement (1972): From Military Victory to A Diplomatic Defeat? *Indian Foreign Affairs Journal*, 2(3), 105–119. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/45340665>
- Balcerowicz, P., & Kuszewska, A. (2021). *Human rights in South Asia: Kashmir and the Policies of India and Pakistan*. Routledge.
- Balcerowicz, P., & Kuszewska, A. (2022). *Law and Conflict Resolution in Kashmir*. Routledge Studies in South Asian Politics.
- Dorsey, James M. 2019. 'Diverging Gulf Responses to Kashmir and Xinjiang Reflect Deep Divisions.' Begin–Sadat Center for Strategic Studies website, 25 September. besacenter.org/perspectives-papers/gulf-states-kashmir-xinjiang.
- Duschinski, H., & Ghosh, S. N. (2017). Constituting the occupation: preventive detention and permanent emergency in Kashmir. *Journal of Legal Pluralism*, 49(3), 314–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2017.1347850>
- Gojree, M. U. (2015). The U.S. Interests and Policies towards South Asia: From Cold War Era to Strategic Rebalancing. *Research Journal of Language, Literature, and Humanities*, 2(4), 5–12.
- Gupta, A. (2021). The Story of Jammu and Kashmir and Interpretation of Article 370 of the Constitution of India. *Global Journal of HUMAN–SOCIAL SCIENCE: A Arts & Humanities – Psychology*, 21(15), 19. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4510130>
- Hussain, S. R. (2009). Resolving the Kashmir Dispute: Blending Realism with Justice. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 48(4), 1007–1035. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41261362>
- Khan, B. M. I. (2019, December 24). Kashmir crisis 2019: Between a rock and a hard place. *www.bbc.com*. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-50826419>
- St John, A. W. (1997). Third-party mediation over Kashmir: A modest proposal. *International Peacekeeping*, 4(4), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533319708413689>
- Westcott, S. P. (2020, May 29). *The case of UN involvement in Jammu and Kashmir*. E–International Relations. <https://www.e-ir.info/2020/05/29/the-case-of-un-involvement-in-jammu-and-kashmir/>
- Wojczewski, T. (2014). The Persistency of the India–Pakistan Conflict: Chances and Obstacles of the Bilateral Composite Dialogue. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 1(3), 319–346. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/48602108>