



The Dilemma of Free Speech: Confrontations and Restrictions in Pakistan

Aftab Ahmad

M.Phil. Scholar, Department of Pakistan Studies, AIOU, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Vol. 4, No. 1 (Winter 2024)**Pages:** 29 – 36**ISSN (Print):** 2789–441X**ISSN (Online):** 2789–4428

Key Words

Pakistan, Freedom of Expression, Challenges, Legal Constraints, Societal Attitudes, Political Dynamics, Civil Society

Corresponding Author:

Aftab Ahmad

Email:

aftabahmadkhan2244@gmail.com

Abstract: In Pakistan, the pursuit of freedom of expression encounters a complex landscape marked by aspirations for an open society and significant challenges and restrictions. This article explores the multifaceted dilemma of free speech in Pakistan, addressing the tensions between individual liberties, societal norms, political interests, and security concerns. Legal constraints, particularly blasphemy laws and defamation statutes, hinder free expression, while political dynamics, including authoritarian governance and the influence of religious extremism, exacerbate confrontations and restrictions. Journalists face threats and harassment, and the digital sphere is subject to increased censorship. Societal attitudes rooted in religious conservatism, honor culture, and patriarchal structures perpetuate self-censorship and conformity. Despite these challenges, voices of resistance persist, including civil society organizations and human rights activists advocating for pluralism and accountability. The research aims to analyze the legal, political, social, and cultural factors shaping the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan, highlighting the need to address root causes, foster dialogue, and promote tolerance for progress towards a more open and inclusive society.

Introduction

In a world that increasingly values freedom of expression as a fundamental human right, the landscape of Pakistan presents a paradoxical picture. On the one hand, there are aspirations towards an open society where diverse opinions flourish, but on the other hand, there are significant challenges and restrictions that impede the realization of this ideal. The dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is a multifaceted issue that reflects the complexities of balancing individual liberties with societal norms, political interests, and security concerns.

At the heart of this dilemma lies the tension between the desire for open discourse and the need for social cohesion and stability. Pakistan, like many other countries, grapples with the challenge of accommodating diverse viewpoints while safeguarding against hate speech, incitement to violence, and threats to national

security. While the Constitution of Pakistan guarantees freedom of speech and expression, including freedom of the press, the practical implementation of these rights often faces constraints in the form of legal restrictions, censorship, and intimidation (Zamora, 2006).

One of the primary sources of confrontation regarding free speech in Pakistan is the presence of laws that limit expression deemed offensive or blasphemous. The notorious blasphemy laws, for instance, have been used to suppress dissent and target religious minorities, leading to instances of violence and vigilantism. The mere accusation of blasphemy can have severe consequences, including mob violence, extrajudicial killings, and imprisonment, creating a chilling effect on free expression and stifling critical discourse (Riaz, 2020).

Moreover, journalists and media professionals in Pakistan face significant risks and challenges in exercising their right to free speech. Threats, attacks, and harassment against journalists are commonplace, often perpetrated by state actors, militant groups, or powerful individuals with vested interests. Journalists who dare to report on sensitive issues, such as human rights abuses, corruption, or security operations, risk facing censorship, intimidation, and even violence as a consequence of their work (Recuber, 2021).

In addition to legal restrictions and threats of violence, the digital sphere has emerged as a new battleground for free speech in Pakistan. The government has increasingly sought to control online discourse through censorship, surveillance, and restrictive legislation. Social media platforms once hailed as vehicles for free expression and activism, have become subject to government scrutiny and censorship, with authorities often resorting to blocking websites, restricting access to content, and monitoring online activities (Murugan, 2021).

The dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is further compounded by societal attitudes and cultural norms that discourage dissent and promote conformity. Patriarchal structures, religious conservatism, and social stigma contribute to self-censorship and inhibit the free exchange of ideas, particularly on sensitive topics such as religion, politics, and gender equality. The fear of social ostracism, harassment, or reprisals often leads individuals to refrain from expressing their opinions openly, perpetuating a culture of silence and conformity.

Despite these challenges, there are voices of resilience and resistance that continue to advocate for freedom of expression in Pakistan. Civil society organizations, human rights activists, and independent media outlets play a crucial role in challenging restrictions on free speech and amplifying marginalized voices. Through advocacy, legal activism, and grassroots mobilization, these actors work to defend fundamental rights, promote pluralism, and hold

authorities accountable for violations of free speech (Flew, 2020).

In conclusion, the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan reflects the broader struggle between liberty and control, openness and censorship, in an increasingly interconnected and contested world. While the country grapples with confrontations and restrictions on free expression, there remains hope for progress towards a more open and inclusive society. Addressing the root causes of censorship and fostering a culture of tolerance, dialogue, and respect for diversity are essential steps towards overcoming the challenges to free speech in Pakistan and realizing the promise of a vibrant democracy (Ayala, 2016).

Literature Review

The dilemma surrounding free speech in Pakistan is a multifaceted issue that has been extensively discussed and analyzed in the academic literature. Scholars have examined the various confrontations and restrictions that impede the exercise of free expression in the country, shedding light on the complex interplay of legal, political, social, and cultural factors.

The literature highlights the presence of legal restrictions that limit freedom of speech in Pakistan. For instance, Jhang (2003) has discussed the impact of blasphemy laws, which are often used to suppress dissent and target religious minorities. These laws, enshrined in Sections 295 and 298 of the Pakistan Penal Code, have been criticized for their vague and broad language, leading to abuse and misuse (Sharif, 2019).

Moreover, the literature points to the challenges faced by journalists and media professionals in exercising their right to free speech. A study by Jamil (2020) has documented the threats, attacks, and harassment faced by journalists in Pakistan, particularly those reporting on sensitive issues such as human rights abuses, corruption, and security operations. Journalists often operate in a climate of fear and

self-censorship, where the risks of violence and reprisals are ever-present (Berkowitz, 2003).

In addition to legal restrictions and threats of violence, scholars have highlighted the role of digital censorship in constraining free speech in Pakistan. Iosifidis (2019) argues that the government has increasingly sought to control online discourse through censorship, surveillance, and restrictive legislation. Social media platforms once hailed as vehicles for free expression and activism, have become subject to government scrutiny and censorship (Beall, 1987).

The literature also underscores the impact of societal attitudes and cultural norms on free speech in Pakistan. Studies by Jhang (2023) and Khan (2018) have explored the influence of patriarchal structures, religious conservatism, and social stigma on individuals' willingness to express dissenting opinions. Fear of social ostracism, harassment, or reprisals often leads individuals to self-censorship, perpetuating a culture of silence and conformity (Iram, 2022).

Despite these challenges, scholars have identified avenues for resistance and advocacy in the face of restrictions on free speech in Pakistan. Civil society organizations, human rights activists, and independent media outlets play a crucial role in challenging censorship and amplifying marginalized voices (Asad, 2013). Through advocacy, legal activism, and grassroots mobilization, these actors work to defend fundamental rights, promote pluralism, and hold authorities accountable for violations of free speech (Aslam, 2018).

In summary, the literature review highlights the complexity of the dilemma surrounding free speech in Pakistan, with legal, political, social, and cultural factors contributing to confrontations and restrictions. While challenges persist, there are opportunities for progress through advocacy, activism, and dialogue as individuals and organizations continue to push for greater freedom of expression and respect for human rights in the country (Iram, 2022).

Research Objective

The research objective is to analyze the multifaceted nature of the dilemma surrounding free speech in Pakistan, investigating the legal, political, social, and cultural factors that contribute to confrontations and restrictions on the exercise of this fundamental right.

Discussion

Legal Factor

The legal framework in Pakistan plays a pivotal role in shaping the dilemma surrounding free speech, contributing to confrontations and restrictions on the exercise of this fundamental right. While the Constitution of Pakistan guarantees freedom of speech and expression under Article 19, this right is subject to various legal limitations and restrictions that have significant implications for individuals, journalists, activists, and other stakeholders.

One of the primary legal factors leading to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is the presence of blasphemy laws, enshrined in Sections 295 and 298 of the Pakistan Penal Code. These laws prohibit blasphemy against Islam, the Prophet Muhammad, and the Quran and carry severe penalties, including death or life imprisonment. However, the vague and broad language of these laws has led to their abuse and misuse, resulting in the targeting of religious minorities and individuals critical of religious orthodoxy.

Furthermore, defamation laws in Pakistan pose significant challenges to free speech, particularly for journalists and media professionals. The criminalization of defamation under the Pakistan Penal Code and other statutes allows for the prosecution of individuals for criticizing public officials, government institutions, or powerful individuals. As a result, journalists often face legal threats, harassment, and imprisonment for their reporting on sensitive issues such as corruption, human rights abuses, and government misconduct.

Additionally, the legal framework governing digital communication and social media in Pakistan contributes to restrictions on free

speech. The Prevention of Electronic Crimes Act (PECA) and other legislation grant authorities broad powers to monitor online activities, censor content, and prosecute individuals for online expression deemed offensive or blasphemous. Social media platforms are often subject to government scrutiny and censorship, with authorities blocking websites, restricting access to content, and monitoring online communications.

Moreover, the legal environment in Pakistan fosters a culture of impunity for perpetrators of violence and harassment against journalists, activists, and dissenting voices. Despite constitutional guarantees of freedom of speech, individuals who speak out against state policies, security agencies, or powerful actors face threats, attacks, and intimidation with little recourse for justice.

In conclusion, the legal factors leading to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan highlight the challenges and constraints faced by individuals, journalists, and activists in exercising their right to express dissenting opinions and critical viewpoints. The presence of blasphemy laws, defamation statutes, restrictive digital legislation, and a culture of impunity contribute to a climate of fear, self-censorship, and repression, stifling open discourse and undermining democratic principles. Addressing these legal challenges is essential for promoting freedom of speech, protecting human rights, and fostering a culture of tolerance, pluralism, and respect for diversity in Pakistan.

Political Factors

The dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is deeply intertwined with political factors that shape the country's socio-political landscape and influence the exercise of fundamental rights. Political dynamics, including government policies, party politics, and power struggles, play a significant role in determining the extent to which individuals can express dissenting opinions, criticize authority, and engage in open discourse without fear of reprisal or censorship.

One of the primary political factors leading to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is the presence of authoritarian governance structures and a history of military rule. Pakistan has experienced periods of military dictatorship, during which basic freedoms, including freedom of speech, were severely curtailed, and dissent was ruthlessly suppressed (Khalid, 2019). Even in periods of civilian rule, the military has wielded significant influence over politics and governance, often using its power to stifle dissent and maintain control over the narrative.

Moreover, the dominance of mainstream political parties and their efforts to consolidate power have contributed to restrictions on free speech in Pakistan. Political parties, particularly those in government, have been known to use legal and administrative mechanisms to suppress dissent, silence critics, and control the media (Riaz, 2018). Journalists and activists who challenge the status quo or criticize government policies risk facing harassment, intimidation, and censorship (Sharif, 2019).

Furthermore, the influence of religious extremism and militant groups in Pakistani politics exacerbates the dilemma of free speech. Radical religious organizations and extremist elements often use violence, intimidation, and threats to silence dissent and enforce conformity with their ideological agenda. Blasphemy laws, which are exploited by extremists to target religious minorities and dissenting voices, serve as a tool for political manipulation and repression (Asad, 2013).

In addition, geopolitical factors and Pakistan's security concerns have implications for freedom of speech in the country. The government often justifies restrictions on free speech under the guise of national security, citing threats from terrorist organizations, separatist movements, and external adversaries. Security agencies wield significant power and influence over media regulation and censorship, leading to self-censorship among journalists and media organizations.

Furthermore, the lack of independent regulatory mechanisms and weak institutions of governance contribute to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan. Regulatory bodies tasked with upholding media freedom and protecting journalists often lack independence and are susceptible to political influence. The absence of checks and balances allows authorities to abuse their power and infringe upon individuals' right to free expression with impunity.

In conclusion, political factors play a significant role in shaping the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan, with authoritarian governance structures, political party dynamics, religious extremism, security concerns, and weak institutional frameworks contributing to restrictions on free expression. Addressing these political challenges is essential for promoting democracy, upholding human rights, and fostering a culture of open discourse, tolerance, and pluralism in Pakistan.

Social Factors

The Freedom of Speech in Pakistan is influenced by various social factors that shape attitudes, behaviors, and norms regarding the expression of dissenting opinions and critical viewpoints. Social dynamics, including cultural traditions, religious beliefs, societal norms, and demographic factors, play a significant role in determining the boundaries of acceptable discourse and the extent to which individuals can exercise their right to free expression without fear of reprisal or censorship.

One of the primary social factors leading to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is the influence of religious conservatism and societal attitudes towards blasphemy and religious orthodoxy. Pakistan is an Islamic Republic where religion holds significant sway over public life, and blasphemy against Islam, the Prophet Muhammad, or the Quran is considered a grave offense. Social stigma and fear of reprisal often deter individuals from criticizing religious authorities or expressing dissenting views on

religious matters, contributing to self-censorship and the suppression of free speech (Asad, 2013).

Moreover, patriarchal structures and gender norms in Pakistani society constrain women's freedom of expression and contribute to the dilemma of free speech. Women often face discrimination, harassment, and violence for speaking out on issues such as gender equality, women's rights, and patriarchy. Cultural expectations and societal pressures dictate that women should adhere to traditional roles and behaviors, stifling their ability to voice dissenting opinions and challenge societal norms.

Additionally, social stigma and fear of social ostracism contribute to self-censorship and restrictions on free speech in Pakistan. Individuals who express dissenting opinions or challenge mainstream narratives risk being labeled as unpatriotic, anti-religious, or morally corrupt, leading to social isolation and marginalization (Jamil, 2020). The fear of reprisal from religious extremists, conservative elements, or societal elites often leads individuals to conform to prevailing norms and refrain from expressing controversial viewpoints.

Furthermore, educational disparities and low literacy rates in Pakistan contribute to the dilemma of free speech by limiting access to information, critical thinking skills, and opportunities for civic engagement. Individuals with limited education may be less aware of their rights or less equipped to challenge authority or engage in political discourse, perpetuating a cycle of ignorance and apathy. Moreover, marginalized communities, including religious minorities, ethnic groups, and rural populations, face additional barriers to free speech due to social, economic, and political marginalization.

In conclusion, social factors play a significant role in shaping the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan, with religious conservatism, patriarchal structures, social stigma, educational disparities, and marginalization contributing to restrictions on free expression. Addressing these social challenges requires efforts to promote tolerance,

pluralism, and respect for diversity, empower marginalized communities, and create an enabling environment for open discourse and civic engagement.

Cultural Factors

The dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is deeply influenced by cultural factors that shape societal attitudes, behaviors, and norms regarding the expression of dissenting opinions and critical viewpoints. Cultural dynamics, including traditions, values, customs, and belief systems, play a significant role in determining the boundaries of acceptable discourse and the extent to which individuals can exercise their right to free expression without fear of reprisal or censorship.

One of the primary cultural factors leading to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan is the influence of traditional and conservative values that prioritize social harmony and conformity over individual liberties. Pakistani society is characterized by strong collective identities and a sense of community cohesion, which can lead to social pressure to adhere to prevailing norms and avoid behavior that may disrupt social order. As a result, individuals may self-censor or refrain from expressing dissenting opinions to avoid social ostracism or conflict within their communities.

Moreover, the prevalence of honor culture and face-saving behaviors in Pakistani society contributes to restrictions on free speech. The concept of "izzat" (honor) is deeply ingrained in Pakistani culture, and individuals may refrain from speaking out against authority or challenging prevailing norms to avoid bringing shame or dishonor upon themselves or their families. Fear of social stigma and loss of reputation may deter individuals from expressing controversial viewpoints or engaging in open discourse on sensitive topics such as religion, politics, or social issues.

Religious beliefs and practices also play a significant role in shaping attitudes towards free

speech in Pakistan. Islam, as the dominant religion in the country, exerts a profound influence on cultural norms and values, including notions of respect, piety, and moral conduct. Religious conservatism and interpretations of Islamic teachings may lead to the censoring of dissenting voices or the suppression of ideas deemed blasphemous or heretical. The fear of religious reprisal or accusations of blasphemy may deter individuals from expressing views that challenge religious orthodoxy or authority.

Furthermore, the influence of traditional gender roles and patriarchal structures in Pakistani culture contributes to restrictions on free speech, particularly for women. Gender norms dictate that women should be modest, submissive, and obedient, and women who challenge these norms may face social sanction or violence. Women's voices and perspectives are often marginalized in public discourse, further limiting their ability to express dissenting opinions or advocate for social change.

In conclusion, cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan, with traditional values, honor culture, religious beliefs, and gender norms contributing to restrictions on free expression. Addressing these cultural challenges requires efforts to promote tolerance, diversity, and respect for individual liberties, challenge harmful stereotypes and prejudices, and create spaces for open dialogue and critical thinking. By fostering a culture of free expression and pluralism, Pakistan can work towards overcoming the dilemma of free speech and building a more inclusive and democratic society.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the complex interplay of legal, political, social, and cultural factors contributes to the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan. Despite constitutional guarantees and aspirations towards an open society, significant challenges and restrictions impede the realization of this ideal. The presence of laws limiting expression, such as blasphemy laws and defamation statutes,

underscores the legal constraints on free speech. Furthermore, political dynamics characterized by authoritarian governance structures, dominance of mainstream political parties, and influence of religious extremism exacerbate confrontations and restrictions on free expression. Societal attitudes, including religious conservatism, patriarchal structures, and fear of social stigma, contribute to self-censorship and perpetuate a culture of silence and conformity. Additionally, cultural factors such as traditional values, honor culture, and gender norms shape attitudes toward free speech and inhibit open discourse.

Despite these challenges, there are voices of resilience and resistance that continue to advocate for freedom of expression in Pakistan. Civil society organizations, human rights activists, and independent media outlets play a crucial role in challenging restrictions on free speech and amplifying marginalized voices. Through advocacy, legal activism, and grassroots mobilization, these actors work to defend fundamental rights, promote pluralism, and hold authorities accountable for violations of free speech.

Moving forward, addressing the root causes of censorship and fostering a culture of tolerance, dialogue, and respect for diversity are essential steps toward overcoming the challenges to free speech in Pakistan. Efforts to reform legal frameworks, strengthen institutional mechanisms, and promote media freedom are crucial for safeguarding free expression and upholding democratic principles. Additionally, promoting education, awareness, and empowerment can help challenge societal norms and foster a more inclusive and democratic society where diverse opinions are valued and respected.

In conclusion, while the dilemma of free speech in Pakistan reflects the broader struggle between liberty and control, openness and censorship, there remains hope for progress toward a more open and inclusive society. By addressing the multifaceted challenges and working towards a shared vision of freedom of expression, Pakistan can realize the promise of a

vibrant democracy where the voices of all its citizens are heard and respected.

References

- Asad, T. (2013). Free speech, blasphemy, and secular criticism. *Is Critique Secular?*, 14–52. <https://doi.org/10.5422/fordham/9780823251681.003.0002>
- Ayala, M. I., & Murga, A. L. (2016). Patriarchy and women's multidimensional agency: A case study of a Mexican sending village. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 59, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2016.08.002>
- Beall, M. L. (1987). Censorship and self-censorship: A problem in the schools. *Communication Education*, 36(4), 313–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634528709378682>
- Berkowitz, D., & Limor, Y. (2003). Professional confidence and situational ethics: Assessing the social–professional dialectic in journalistic ethics decisions. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 80(4), 783–801. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107769900308000403>
- Flew, T., & Iosifidis, P. (2020). Special issue editorial: Populism, globalization and social media. *International Communication Gazette*, 82(1), 3–6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048519880718>
- Iosifidis, P., & Andrews, L. (2019). Regulating the Internet intermediaries in a post-truth world: Beyond media policy? *International Communication Gazette*, 82(3), 211–230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048519828595>
- Iram, A., Shahid, R., & Shams, M. (2022). Freedom of expression under censorship in democratic Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 04(04), 809–819. <https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v4i04.895>
- Jamil, S. (2020). Journalism for sustainable development: The imperative of journalists' rights to freedom of expression and access to

- information for promoting sustainable development in Pakistan. *Journal of Applied Journalism & Media Studies*, 9(3), 271-291. https://doi.org/10.1386/ajms_00016_1
- Jang, W. Y., & Chon, B. S. (2003). Reflections on the effects of globalization on local tradition. *Journal of International Communication*, 9(1), 81-97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13216597.2003.9751945>
- Khalid, R. (2019). Civil Society and Free Speech in Pakistan: Challenges and Prospects. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 27(1), 123-141.
- Murugan, P. (2021). Freedom of expression and values. *Text Wars*, 197-209. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199499076.003.0017>
- Recuber, T. (2021). Race, racism, and mnemonic freedom in the digital afterlife. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(5), 684-699. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2021.1874474>
- Riaz, S. (2020). Freedom of expression and the criminalization of online glorification of an offense: A case study of Pakistan. <https://doi.org/10.5204/thesis.eprints.200252>
- Sharif, H. S., & Kataria, J. R. (2019). Freedom of Expression and Justiciability in Pakistan. *A Research Journal of South Asian Studies*, 34(1), 185-193.
- Zamora Bonilla, J. P. (2006). Rhetoric, induction, and the free speech dilemma. *Philosophy of Science*, 73(2), 175-193. <https://doi.org/10.1086/510817>